



The Investigation of Factors Related to Total Body Surface Area and Burn Degree in under 5-year-old Children of Kermanshah, Iran: Using Ordinal Regression

Behzad Karami Matin¹, Reza Karami Matin², Abbas Aghaei³, Zahra Shaahmadi², Bahareh Lotfi², Farid Najafi⁴, Mehdi Khezeli⁵, Sohaila Kazazi², *Touraj Ahmadi Jouybari²

¹Research Center for Environmental Determinants of Health, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran. ²Research Development Center of Imam Khomeini Hospital, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran. ³Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ⁴Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran. ⁵Department of Health Education and Promotion, School of Public Health, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran. ⁵Department of Health, Iran.

Abstract

Background

The prevalence of burn in children is more than other age groups. This study aimed to "investigate the factors associated with the burn degree and total body surface area (TBSA) in under five-year-old children ofKermanshah".

Materials and Methods: We studied under five-year-old children hospitalized in the burn center of Imam Khomeini hospital in Kermanshah, Iran, from September 2014 until March 2016 for 18 months. Required Information extracted by trained staff based on existing data. Researcher-made checklist was used to obtain information. The ordinal regression has been applied to evaluate factors related to TBSA and burn degree in children.

Results: In general, 262 children were input of the study so that 226 cases (86.3%) were in under twoyear-old group. The majority of cases were boys by 66.8% (175 cases). Hot liquid was the most reported cause of burns by 68.7% of all cases. Most of theburn incidents (43.1%) with high burn degree occurred at 7 am to 2 pm. Girlswere exposed to higher burn degrees more than boys.Factors such as being boy (odds ratio [OR] = 2.83), less than 2 years old age (OR=4.91) significantly increased TBSA. Also, living in rural (OR=5.17) and delay of treatment (OR=41.35) significantly increased burn degree.

Conclusion

To reduce the incidence and complications of burns in children, interventions should be considered to change the environmental and individual factors.

Key Words: Burn degree, Children, Iran, TBSA, Ordinal regression.

<u>*Please cite this article as</u>: Karami Matin B, Karami Matin R, Aghaei A, Shaahmadi Z, Lotfi B, Najafi F, et al. The Investigation of Factors Related to Total Body Surface Area and Burn Degree in under 5-year-old Children of Kermanshah, Iran. . Int J Pediatr 2018; 6(1): 6931-44. DOI: **10.22038/ijp.2017.28180.2436**

*Corresponding Author:

Touraj Ahmadi Jouybari, Internist, Clinical Research Development Center, Imam Khomeini and Mohammad Kermanshahi Hospitals, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran.

Email: dr.ahmadi_jouybari@yahoo.com

Received date: Nov.15, 2017 ; Accepted date: Dec.12, 2018

1- INTRODUCTION

Burn is one of the most important accidentsinvolving human health due to severe complications and high mortality rates(1). It is also considered as one of the main causes of disability and mortality in the world (2, 3). About 2.5 million people are suffered from burns annually in the United States (US) of America. 200,000 and 100,000 of these cases are needed outpatient treatment and hospitalization, espectively (4).

Annually, 3,000 children are died because of burns (5). Burns are the third cause of death by incidents after car accidents and drownings in the US(1).Burns in the Middle East is also a major health concern that one-third of its victims are children aged 0-5 years old (6) and among the Middle East countries, Iran is one of the countries that is a population of kids, it's more that, according to the studies of the population most at risk for accidents(7-9).A study conducted in Tehran (in 2003) showed that the highest burn rate belonged to under five-year-old children (10).

Burns and its severe complications had destructive consequences in children because of their curiosity, reaction, high activity and lack of experience in understanding the common risks (11, 12). Burns cause prolonged pain, physical and psychological problems for children and their families (13, 14). Furthermore, it requires more hospital care and costs in comparison with other physical injuries (15, 16). According to studies, there are several factors affecting the severity and mechanism of burnssuch as the energy source used in the home and workplace, lifestyle, and the level of social, economic and cultural of society (17, 18). Most of theaccidental and preventable burns occur in the first decade of life (19). The statistical data of at-risk people are required to plan and develop solutions and policies for the prevention of burns. It is worth mentioning that the relevant data in this regard is low and incomplete. There is also no study on theinvestigation of the factors related to the degree and percent of burns in children. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate factors related to burn degree and total body surface area (TBSA) in under five-year-old children ofKermanshah.

2- MATERIALS AND METHODS

2-1. Study design and population

In this cross-sectional design based on existing data, we studied under five-yearold children referredtothe burn emergency Imam Khomeini ward of hospital inKermanshah city, Iran, from September 2014 until March 2016 for 18 months.Burn center of Imam Khomeini hospital was the only referral center for patients with burns Kermanshah in province. Patients with3rd-degree, 2nddegree and 1st-degree burns at the level above 15% burns are hospitalized in this burn center; [First-degree or epidermal burns: these burns involve only the epidermis; Second-degree or partialthickness burns: includes upper layers or extend into the reticular layers of the dermis; Third-degree or full-thickness involves burns: all lavers of the dermis(20)]. This project was approved by Research Committee of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences.

2-2. Methods

Information of burned children was collected from their parents or caregivers in the burn center routinely; in this study, information such as required age, gender, burn degree, burns percentage, location 1 (rural or urban), location 2 (the provincial capital or city), transfer type to the center, incident time, interval time from incident to burn center, exposure time to burn agent, burned body area, burn history, burn agent, incident location and economic situation. had

extracted based on a researcher-made checklist. Some of the variables classified in different groups; the variable of age divided into two groups including under two-year-old and three to five-year-old children, respectively. Furthermore, body areas of theburncategorized into three groups: upperarea of thebody (abdomen, chest, back, loin, hands, neck, and head), the lowerarea of thebody (hips, thighs, combined (combination of and feet), and upper and lower areas). The location of the incident considered the kitchen and other places (including the living room. bedroom, garden and outdoors). TBSA of burn divided into three categories of less than 25 percent, 25 to 50 percent and more than 50 percent. It should be mentioned patients had burns that only three over 75 percent. Finally, burn agents classified in three of groups including group I (including hot liquids such as boiling water, tea, coffee, soup), group II (including gas, oil and petroleum products), and Ш group (including other burn agents). The economic situation data with 69.1 percent missing were excluded from the study.

2-3. Data Analyses

In data analysis section, a univariate analysis is performed first. Then, the variables withp-value less than 2.0 were selected. These selected variables wereinputs of the ordinal regression test.

According to this test, 1st-degree burn and less than 25% TBSA considered as reference for the analysis of burn degree and TBSA, respectively.Alpha level error of 0.05 was also considered as the cutoff point for statistical significance. SPSS version16.0 applied for all data analysis in this study.

3- RESULTS

This study aimed to evaluate factors related to burn degree and TBSA in under five-year-old children of Kermanshah. From September 2014 until March 2016, 262 under five-year-old children were hospitalized in the burn canter. According to two different age groups in this study, 226 (86.3%) and 36 (13.7%) children were in under two-year-old and three to five-year-old groups, respectively. There were 175 (66.8%) boys and 87 (33.2%) girls.

Results showed that 49.2% of burn from cases were urban regions and 50.8% of them were from rural regions. The residencefor 32.6% of children provincial was capital and 67.6% were the cities of theprovince, respectively. It was worth mentioning that only 35.5% of children have been transferred the burn to center bv ambulance of medical emergency.

Mostof theburn incidents (43.1%) occurred from 7 am to 1:59 pm.About 45% of cases were treated less than 5 minutes after the burn incident. About 26% of burn cases had the exposure time with the burningagent for more than 5 seconds. Hot liquid was the most reported cause of burns by 68.7% of all cases. More than 75% of burn cases were on the lowerarea of thebody. About 20% children had of a history 38.2% of of burns. Moreover. burns occurred in the kitchen. Table.1 shows the results of univariate analysis for factors measured in under five-year-old children in Kermanshah.

The results of univariate analysis based on the chi-square test showed that p-value was less than 0.2 for the relationship between variables with burn degree. The results also showed that only two variables (transfer type and burn history) didn't have the p-value less than 0.2 for the relationship with TBSA (**Table.1**).

The results of ordinal regression showed that gender, location (urban or rural), incident time, interval time from incident to burn center and exposure time to burn agenthad a statistically significant relationship with the burn degree. Furthermore, the odds for high degrees of burns was as follows: in boys was more than girls, in rural regionswas more than urban regions, the burns occurred at 7 am to 2 pm hours more than other times, more than 5 minutes treatment after the time of the incident was higher comparison with in less than 5 minutes treatment and the exposure timefor more than 5 seconds was higher in with comparison 2 seconds or less. Table.2 shows factors affecting burn degree in under five-year-old children of Kermanshah based on ordinal regression. The results of univariate regression with factors related to the percentage of burn showed that area gender, residence(urban and rural), age. interval time from incident to burn center and the of burn occurrence place had а significant relationship with TBSA. Higher values of TBSA reported as follows:for bovs more than in girls. rural regions less than urban regions, in under two-year-old group more than three to thefive-year-old group, for interval time of 10 minutes less than five minutes and in other places. (More the kitchen less than details in Table.3) (pleas see the tables in the end of paper).

4- DISCUSSION

This study was aimed to evaluate factors associated with burn degree and TBSA of burns in under five-year-old children of Kermanshah. In this study, boys had the majority of burn cases (66.8%). The relationship of genderwith burn degree and TBSA was statistically significant (p<0.05).

Sakallıoğlu et al.(21)showed that girls had more burn incidents, but Sowemimo et al. (22) and Rafiei et al. (23) showed that the average burns in boys were more than girls. The frequency of rural (50.8%), and urban (49.2%) regions for residencewas approximately equal; the residence had a statistically significant relationship with burn degree and TBSA. Higher degrees of burn (2ndand 3-rd level) reported in urban areas and the most TBSA (above 50%) occurred in rural areas. This was inconsistent with the study of Sakathogla et al. (21) conducted in Turkey, that reported the relationship TBSA and residence between was statistically significant and mean TBSA was higher for rural areas in Turkey. In our study 35.5% of burn cases were transferred the medical to center by ambulance. AmirAlavi et al. (24) reported that only 18 burn cases (13%) used the medical emergency ambulancefor transferring to themedical center. It can be concluded from this that people called emergencycenter more in case of burn incidents in Kermanshah. Incurrent study, 86.3% of burn cases were under two-year-old children. Burn degree and TBSA for this age group were higher than 3 to 5-year-old children. Therefore, was a statistically significant there relationship between age withburn degree TBSA. Balseven et al. (25)and also showed that burns in under three-yearold children in Turkey were more common, as well as, the risk of this age was reported in several studies (26, 27).

The majority of burn cases (43.1%) occurredduring thetime period of7 am to 2 pm in our study. Time of burn incidents had a significant degree and relationship with the burn TBSA. In a study conducted in Taiwan (3), the most burn cases were reported in mealtimes (19.7 % at 11 am to 1 pm and 35% at 5 pm to 8 pm). Rafiei et al. (23), also reported that burn cases were almost at about lunch time and dinner time by 31% and 36%, respectively. Our results showed that more than a third of burn cases occur in the kitchen. This was inconsistent with a study conducted in Pakistan (28). They also reported that kitchen was the place of burn incidents for a third of burn cases. There also several studies indicated that the kitchen was the most reported place for children burns (3, 24). As mentioned before, hot liquids (by 68.7%) was the most common cause of burns in children. Our results like other studies (3, 12, 29), also showed the most common cause of burns in children was hot liquid. Therefore, burn agent had a statistically significant relationship with TBSA and burn degree (p<0.05). Extent and severity of burns by hot liquid were than otheragents which more wereinconsistent with Balseven et al. (25). However, Tarim et al. (30) reported that electrical burns had higher extent and severity in comparison with other agents. Ansari et al. (31) in a study on burn agents by TBSA showed that self-immolation and electrical burn had higher extent and severity. The interval time from incident to the treatment in most burn cases (45.4%) was less than or equal to 5 minutes. Furthermore, interval time had a statistically significant relationship with the burn degree and TBSA.

Most of theburn cases (97.7%) with high TBSA (more than 50%) were reported for of interval time fewer than 5 minutes. Interval time of longer than 10 minutes was for the most cases (81.3%) with high burn degree (3^{rd}) degree). It should be noted that this issue was not mentioned in any previous studies. Exposure time with burn agent had a statistically significant relationship with the burn degree and TBSA. Increasing the exposuretime with burnagents led to higher severity and extent of burns. About 20.2% of burn cases had burn history. Therelationship between burn history and burn degree was statistically significant. Burn history had apreventive roleso that cases with burn history were exposed to the burn fewer. There was not a relationship between significant burn history and TBSA. In our study, 76% of burns occurred in the lower

areas of thebody. The relationship of areas of thebodyand burn the burned degree was statistically significant. Burn degree in the lower areas of thebody was higher. Furthermore, the burned area of body had a significant relationship with TBSA so that the most reported TBSA over 50% (with a frequency of 97.7%) was inthe lower areas of thebody. Sakallıoğlu et al. (21), also showed that the majority of burn cases (62.7%) were at lowerareas of thebody. Since regression analysis method had not been used in this field, the results of theanalysis will be individually discussed. Our study showed that gender had a statistically significant relationship with burn degree and TBSA so that the odds of burns in girls was higher than boys while the odds of higher burn percentage was higher in boys. The reason of these differences can be explained by considering this point that boys and girls are interested in different kinds of toys. Incidents and exposure to risk factors for boys and girls are different because girls and boys tend to imitate the activities of their own mothers and fathers. respectively. In our result residence (urban or rural) had a significant relationship with burn degree and TBSA. Odds of higher burn degrees and TBSA were increased in rural and urban regions, respectively. This can be explained by cultural differences and lifestyles in urban and rural regions. In our study time of burn incident had a significant relationship withburn degree. However, there was no a meaningful relationship with the time of burn incident and TBSA. The most reported time of burn incident was from 7 am 2 to pm; considering that mothers are cooking and doing housework at this time, the level of care and attention to children is low. Therefore, it is logical that children are exposed to risk factors of burns such as hot fluid in this time. The relationship between age and TBSA was statistically significant. Odds of higher TBSA was increased for

under two-year-old children in comparison with 3 to 5-year-old children. Childrenunder 2 years old usually crawl or quadruped walk, so they are exposed to risks and their reactions and more perceptions of risk are lower. The interval time from burn incident to treatment had a statistically significant relationship with burn degree and TBSA. The odds of higher degrees for thetime burn of longer 5 minutes was more than under 5 minutes.Furthermore, interval time of under 10 minutes led to lower TBSA. According to these results, the burn cases with the higher burned surface werebrought earlier to medical centers while the burn degree is not visible to the person like the burned surface.

The relationship between exposure time to burn agent and burn degree wasstatistically significant, but it was not significant for TBSA. The higher odds of burnswere for exposure time of more than 5 seconds in comparison with the exposure time of fewer than 2 seconds. Higher exposure time to burn agent led to higher burn degrees, but it did not lead to higher values of TBSA. There was a significant relationship between the incident location TBSA. However, and а significant relationship between incident location and degree not observed in our burn of study. Odds higher TBSA in the kitchen was less than other places.

This implies that other places had the burn agents with higher TBSA and burn degree. Khorasani et al.(32), also stated that the flame of fire had greater consequences than other burn agents.

4-1. Strengths and weaknesses of the study

One of the weaknesses of this study was the type of cross-sectionalby using available data. Furthermore, some variables had missed such as the variable of economic situation excluded from thestudy because of high missing. On the other hand, the same article that has been worked on factors related to total body surface area and burn degree in under 5year-old childrenwas not found to be compared in conclusion section.The main strength of this study was the use of ordinal regression to examine variables related to burn degree and TBSA in under five-year-old children for the first time.

5- CONCLUSIONS

There were variables which had a statistically significant relationship with severity and extent of burns such as gender, residence, age, interval time from incident to the treatment, thelocation of the incident and exposure time to burn agents. Therefore, it can be concluded that many factors affecting the severity and extent of burns in children should be considered to reduce the risk of burns as well as reduce the severity and extent of burns in children with the need for comprehensive consideration at the level of individual and environmental variables.

6- CONFLICT OF INTEREST: None.

7- ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors appreciate the Clinical Research Development Center experts of Imam Khomeini and Dr Mohammad Kermanshahi hospitalsfor their advice in the preparation of this research.

8- REFERENCES

1. Rozbahany R, Zamany A, Omranifard M, Rozbahany A, Faraj Zadegan Z, Rezaie F. Inpatients Burns Epidemiology in Imam mosa kazem Hospital Esfahan. Journal of shahrekord University of Medical Sciences. 2003;7(1):80-9.

2. Karami Matin B, Karami Matin R, Ahmadi Joybari T, Ghahvehei N, Haghi M, Ahmadi M, et al. Epidemiological data, outcome, and costs of burn patients in Kermanshah. Annals of burns and fire disasters. 2012;25(4):171.

3. Lin T-M, Wang K-H, Lai C-S, Lin S-D. Epidemiology of pediatric burn in southern Taiwan. Burns. 2005;31(2):182-7.

4. Caroline N, Elling B, Smith M. Nancy Caroline's emergency care in the streets: Jones and Bartlett Publishers; 2011.

5. Basil A, Pruitt J, Cleon W, Goodwin A, Mason J. Epidemiology of burn. Total Burn Care 2nd ed Philadelphia: Saunders; 2002;16.

6. Othman N, Kendrick D. Epidemiology of burn injuries in the East Mediterranean Region: a systematic review. BMC public health. 2010;10(1):83.

7. Panjeshahin M, Lari A, Talei A, Shamsnia J, Alaghehbandan R. Epidemiology and mortality of burns in the South West of Iran. Burns. 2001;27(3):219-26.

8. Khazaei Z, Khazaei S, Valizadeh R, Mazharmanesh S, Mirmoeini R, Mamdohi S, et al. The epidemiology of injuries and accidents in children under one year of age, during (2009-2016) in Hamadan Province, Iran. International Journal of Pediatrics. 2016;4(7):2213-20.

9. Ghaderi R, Attaran A. Inpatients Burns Epidemiology and LASO in Imam Reza Hospital, Birjand 2001. Journal of Birjand University of Medical Sciences. 2003;10(1):15-9.

10. Hadin-jazi R, Sajedi F, Sanee Y. Electrical, Chemical, And Thermal Burns in Children and Adolecents referred to Motahhari Hospital, Tehran. Journal of Iran University of Medical Sciences. 2004;11(43):861-6.

11. Cuenca-Pardo J, de-Jesús-Álvarez-Díaz C, Comprés-Pichardo T. Related factors in burn children. Epidemiological study of the burn unit at the "Magdalena de las Salinas" Traumatology Hospital. Journal of burn care & research. 2008;29(3):468-74.

12. Sotoodeh-nejad A, Janghorbani M, Dekshad M. Burn Epidemiologyat Kerman: A survey on 1000 cases. Journal of Kerman University of Medical Sciences. 1995;2(3):128-34.

13. Gofin R, Adler B, Hass T. Incidence and impact of childhood and adolescent injuries: a

population-based study. Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery. 1999;47(1):15-21.

14. Ram-Silfen M. Profile of the pediatric burn patient at the Schneider Children's Medical Center of Israel. 2000.

15. Kumar P, Chirayil P, Chittoria R. Ten years epidemiological study of paediatric burns in Manipal, India. Burns. 2000;26(3):261-4.

16. Karami Matin B, Rezaei S. Epidemiological Analysis and Cost of Hospitalization Associated with Pediatric Burns in Kermanshah, Iran. International Journal of Pediatrics. 2014;2(4.3):369-76.

17. Mercier C, Blond M. Epidemiological survey of childhood burn injuries in France. Burns. 1996;22(1):29-34.

18. Rezaei S, Kazemi Karyani A. Factors Associated With Length of Stay and Hospital Charges among Pediatric Burn Injury in Kermanshah, West of Iran. International Journal of Pediatrics. 2015;3(1.1):403-9.

19. Foglia R, Moushey R, Meadows L, Seigel J, Smith M. Evolving treatment in a decade of pediatric burn care. Journal of pediatric surgery. 2004;39(6):957-60.

20. Singh S, Agrawal S, Agrawal M, Borkar N, Agrawal A. Burns and thermal injuries. Journal of Orthopedics, Traumatology and Rehabilitation. 2013;6(1):47.

21. Sakallıoğlu A, Başaran Ö, Tarım A, Türk E, Kut A, Haberal M. Burns in Turkish children and adolescents: nine years of experience. Burns. 2007;33(1):46-51.

22. Sowemimo G. Burn care in Africa: reducing the misery index: the 1993 Everett Idris Evans Memorial Lecture. Journal of Burn Care & Research. 1993;14(6):589-94.

23. Rafiei M, Memarzadeh M, HOSSEINPOUR M. Evaluation of burn epidemiology in children hospitalized in Esfahan province during the recent two years. 2007.

24. Amir Alavi S, Tolouei M, Shodjaei H, Kouchakinejad L. Epidemiology of childhood burns in children referred to Velayat Burn University Hospital of Rasht during 2008-9. KAUMS Journal (FEYZ). 2011;14(5):512-9.

25. Balseven-Odabasi A, Tümer A, Keten A, Yorganci K. Burn injuries among children aged up to seven years. The Turkish journal of pediatrics. 2009;51(4):328.

26. Quayle K, Wick N, Gnauck K, Schootman M, Jaffe D. Description of Missouri children who suffer burn injuries. Injury Prevention. 2000;6(4):255-8.

27. Simon P, Baron R. Age as a risk factor for burn injury requiring hospitalization during early childhood. Archives of pediatrics & adolescent medicine. 1994;148(4):394-7.

28. Razzak j, Luby S, Laflamme L, Chotani H. Injuries among children in Karachi, Pakistan what, where and how. Public health. 2004;118(2):114-20.

29. Fukunishi K, Takahashi H, Kitagishi H, Matsushima T, Kanai T, Ohsawa H, et al. Epidemiology of childhood burns in the critical care medical center of Kinki University Hospital in Osaka, Japan. Burns. 2000;26(5):465-9.

30. Tarim A, Nursal T, Yildirim S, Noyan T, Moray G, Haberal M. Epidemiology of pediatric burn injuries in southern Turkey. Journal of Burn Care & Research. 2005;26(4):327-30.

31. Ansari-Moghaddam A, Baghbanian A, Dogoonchi M, Chooban B, Mostaghim-Roudi M, Torkfar G. Epidemiology of burn injuries in south-eastern Iran: a retrospective study. J Pak Med Assoc. 2013;63(12):1476-81.

32. Khorasani G, Salehifar E, Eslami G. Causes of burns and their outcomes in patients hospitalized in the burn division of zare hospital 2006-2007. Journal of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences. 2007;17(61):122-30.

Karami Matin et al.

Table-1: The Factors Related to Total Body Surface Area and Burn Degree in under 5-year-old Children of Kermanshah based on univariate analysis (2014-2016).

Variables		Burn Degree: Number (percent)			TBSA: Number (percent)				
		1 st Degree	2 nd Degree	3 rd Degree	P-value	$\leq 25\%$	25-50%	>50%	P-value
Gender	Male	92 (60.5)	48 (77.4)	35 (72.9)	0.036	136 (78.2)	31 (68.9)	8 (18.6)	< 0.001
	Female	60 (39.5)	14 (22.6)	13 (27.1)	-	38 921.8)	14 (31.1)	35 (81.4)	
Residence1	Urban	54 (35.50	42 (67.7)	33 (68.8)	< 0.001	95 (54.6)	30 (66.7)	4 (9.3)	< 0.001
	Rural	98 (64.5)	20 (32.3)	15 (31.3)		79 (45.4)	15 (33.3)	39 (90.7)	
Residence2	Provincial capital	57 (37.5)	13 (21)	15 (31.3)	0.063	72 (41.4)	12 (26.7)	1 (2.3)	< 0.001
	City	95 (62.5)	49 (79)	33 (68.8)	_	102 (58.6)	33 (73.3)	42 (97.7)	_
Age	≤ 2 year	145 (95.4)	52 (83.9)	29 (60.4)	<0.001	162 (93.1)	26 (57.8)	38 (88.4)	< 0.001
U .	3-5 year	7 (4.6)	10 (16.1)	19 (39.6)		12 (6.9)	19 (42.2)	5 (11.6)	
Transfer Type	Ambulances	58 (38.2)	14 (22.6)	21 (43.8)	0.040	57 (32.8)	16 (35.6)	20 (46.5)	0.241
	other	94 (61.8)	48 (77.4)	27 (56.30	-	117 (67.2)	29 (64.4)	23 (53.5)	_
Event time, minute	7-13.59	71 (46.7)	28 (45.2)	14 (29.2)		82 (47.1)	15 (33.3)	16 (37.2)	
	14-21.59	26 (17.1)	14 (22.6)	26 (54.2)	< 0.001	26 (14.9)	22 (48.9)	18 (41.9)	< 0.001
	22- 6.59	55 (36.2)	20 (32.3)	8 (16.7)		66 (37.9)	8 (17.8)	9 (20.9)	
Intervaltime of the	≤5	84 (55.3)	26 (41.9)	9 (18.8)	< 0.001	67 (38.5)	10 (22.2)	42 (97.7)	< 0.001
event to treatment,	5.1-10	68 (44.7)	12 (19.4)	0	-	79 (45.4)	0	1 (2.3)	

Relationship between	n Aggressive l	Behaviors and	Counseling	with Familly	v Members	among Children

minute	>10.1	0	24 (38.7)	39 (81.3)		28 (16.1)	35 (77.8)	0	
Exposure time to burn agent	\leq 2Second	74 (48.7)	20 (32.3)	2 (4.2)		81 (46.6)	4 (8.9)	11 (25.6)	<0.001
	2.1-5 Second	58 (36.8)	29 (46.8)	13 (27.1)	< 0.001	66 (37.9)	16 (35.6)	16 (37.2)	
	>5.1 Second	22 (14.5)	13 (21)	33 (68.8)		27 (15.5)	25 (55.6)	16 (37.2)	
	Scald	118 (77.6)	32 (51.6)	30 (62.5)		116 (66.7)	28 (62.2)	36 (83.7)	
Burn agent	Oil, gas, petroleum	21 (13.8)	18 (29)	8(16.7)	0.002	37 (21.3)	6 (13.3)	4 (9.3)	0.028
	Other	13 (8.6)	12 (19.4)	10 (20.8)		21 (12.1)	11 (24.4)	3 (7)	
	Upper	7 (4.6)	9 (14.5)	6 (12.5)	<0.001	12 (6.9)	9 (20)	1 (2.3)	<0.001
Burned area of body	Lower	145 (95.4)	40 (64.5)	14 (29.2)		150 (86.2)	7 (15.6)	42 (97.7)	
	Combined	0	13 (21)	28 (58.3)	-	12 (6.9)	29 (64.4)	0	
History of burn	Yes	38 (25)	12 (19.4)	3 (6.3)	0.018	39 (22.4)	6 (13.3)	8 (18.6)	0.385
	No	114 (75)	50 (80.6)	45 (93.8)	-	135 (77.6)	39 (86.7)	35 (81.4)	
Burn event location	Kitchen	47 (30.9)	29 (46.8)	24 (50)	0.017	44 (25.3)	26 (57.8)	30 (69.8)	<0.001
Burn event location	Other	105 (69.1)	33 (53.2)	24 (50)		130 (74.7)	19 (42.2)	13 (30.2)	

TBSA: Total Body Surface Area.

Karami Matin et al.

Varia	ables	В	OR (95% CI)	P-value
Sex	Female	-*		
	Male	-1.282	0.277 (0.112-0.686)	0.005
Residence 1	Urban	-		
	Rural	1.644	5.176 (2.378-11.269)	0<0.001
Residence 2	Provincial capital	-		
	City	-6.51	0.522 (0.242-1.126)	0.097
Age	3-5	-		
	≤ 2	0.108	1.114 (0.427-3.414)	0.723
Transfer Type	Ambulances			
	other	0.103	1.108 (0.549-2.234)	0.774
	24-6.59	-		
Event time, minute	7-13.59	0.989	2.689 (1.175-6.147)	0.019
	14-23.59	0.031	1.032 (0.397-2.675)	0.950
Interval time of the event to	< 5	-		
treatment, minute	5.1-10	4.778	118.866 (26.735-528.477)	0<0.001
	>10	3.722	41.347 (11.382-150.355)	0<0.001
Exposure time to burn agent, second	> 5.1	-		

Table-2: The Factors Affecting on Burn Degree in Under Five-Year-Old Children of Kermanshah (2014-2016) based on ordinal regression.

	2.1-5	-0.764	0.466 (0.196-1.110)	0.085
	≤2	-1.362	0.256 (0.0840-0.781)	0.017
	Other	-		
Burn agent	Oil, gas and petroleum	-1.042	0.353 (0.108-1.154)	0.085
	Scald	0.744	2.104 (0.747-5.936)	0.159
	Combined	-		
Burned area of body	Lower	0.991	2.694 (0.810-8.962)	0.106
	Upper	1.277	3.586 (0.941-13.667)	0.061
History of burn	No	-		
	Yes	0.093	1.097 (0.467-0.387)	0.831
Burn event location	Other	-		
	Kitchen	-0.091	0.913 (0.455-1.831)	0.797

B: Regression coefficient; OR: Odds ratio; 95% CI: 95% Confidence interval; *Items marked with (-) are the reference groups.

Table-3: The Factors Affecting on Total Body Surface Area (TBSA) in Under Five-Year-Old Children of Kermanshah (2014-216) based on Ordinal Regression.

Variables		В	OR (95% CI)	P-value
Gender	Female	-*		
	Male	1.041	2.832 (1.315 - 6.098)	0.008
Residence1	Urban	-		
	Rural	-0.932	0.394 (0.182 - 0.851)	0.018
Residence 2	Provincial capital	-		
	City	-0.496	0.609 (0.243 - 1.524)	0.289
Age, year	3-5	-		
	≥2	1.592	4.914 (2.024 - 11.929)	0<0.001
	24 to 6.59	-		
Incident time, minute	7 to 13.59	-0.113	0.893 (0.390 - 2.044)	0.789
	14 to 23.59	-0.738	0.478 (0.197-1.164)	0.104
	< 5	-		
Interval time of the event to treatment, minute	5.1 to 10	1.577	4.840 (0.476- 47.134)	0.184
-	> 10	-2.076	0.125 (0.038 - 0.414)	0<0.001
Exposure time to burn agent, second	> 5.1	-		
Zaposale unit to built agent, second	2.1 to 5	-0.497	0.608 (0.249 - 1.486)	0.276

	≥2	.929	2.532 (0.140 - 1.112)	0.078
	Other	-		
Burn agent	Oil, gas and petroleum	0.865	2.375 (0.718 - 7.854)	0.276
	Scald	0.072	1.075 (0.427- 2.705)	0.878
	Combined	-		
Burned area of body	Lower limb	1.151	3.161 (0.970 - 10.299)	0.056
	Upper limb	0.751	2.119 (0.602- 7.463)	0.243
Burn event location	Other	-		
	Kitchen	-1.295	0.274 (0.138–0.544)	0<0.001

B: Regression coefficient; OR: Odds ratio; 95% CI: 95% Confidence interval; *Items marked with (-) are the reference groups.